

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 24 JUNE 2015

COUNCILLORS

PRESENT Patricia Ekechi (Mayor), Bernadette Lappage (Deputy Mayor), Abdul Abdullahi, Daniel Anderson, Dinah Barry, Chris Bond, Yasemin Brett, Alev Cazimoglu, Nesil Cazimoglu (Jubilee), Erin Celebi, Lee Chamberlain, Bambos Charalambous, Jason Charalambous, Katherine Chibah, Lee David-Sanders, Nick Dines, Guney Dogan, Sarah Doyle, Nesimi Erbil, Turgut Esendagli, Peter Fallart, Krystle Fonyonga, Achilleas Georgiou, Christine Hamilton, Ahmet Hasan, Elaine Hayward, Robert Hayward, Ertan Hurer, Suna Hurman, Jansev Jemal, Doris Jagge, Eric Jukes, Nneka Keazor, Adeline Kepez, Joanne Laban, Michael Lavender, Dino Lemonides, Derek Levy, Mary Maguire, Donald McGowan, Andy Milne, Terence Neville OBE JP, Ayfer Orhan, Ahmet Oykenner, Anne-Marie Pearce, Vicki Pite, Michael Rye OBE, George Savva MBE, Toby Simon, Alan Sitkin, Edward Smith, Andrew Stafford, Claire Stewart, Jim Steven, Doug Taylor, Ozzie Uzoanya and Glynis Vince

ABSENT Ali Bakir, Dogan Delman, Christiana During, Alessandro Georgiou, Daniel Pearce and Haydar Ulus

26

ELECTION (IF REQUIRED) OF THE CHAIR/DEPUTY CHAIR OF THE MEETING

The election of a Chair/Deputy Chair of the meeting was not required.

27

MAYOR'S CHAPLAIN TO GIVE A BLESSING

Father Emmanuel from St Edmunds Church gave the blessing.

28

MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS (IF ANY) IN CONNECTION WITH THE ORDINARY COUNCIL BUSINESS

The Mayor made the following announcements:

(a) Death of former Councillors

The Mayor began her announcements with the sad news that two former councillors had recently passed away. Mark Fenton who represented the former Craig Park ward from May 1982 and served continuously until May 2002, and Annette Dreblow who had represented Chase Ward between 2004 and May 2010.

In addition Members were advised that the actor Ron Moody (who had a strong local connection with Enfield), had also recently passed away.

Members were requested to join the Mayor in standing to observe a moments silence in memory of Mark, Annette and Ron.

(b) The Queens Award for Voluntary Service

The Mayor provided a brief history of this unique UK national honour, which had been created by her Majesty to mark the Golden Jubilee in 2002 and to recognise the outstanding contributions made to local communities by groups of volunteers. The award had the equivalent status for voluntary groups as the MBE had for individuals and set a national benchmark for excellence in volunteering. Winners were announced each year on the 2nd June, to coincide with the anniversary of the Queen's Coronation.

This year 187 charities, social enterprises and voluntary groups had received the prestigious award, which the Mayor felt had shown that the voluntary sector was thriving and full of innovative ideas to tackle community challenges. Of the 187 awards, only seven went to groups in Greater London (there were 13 nominations) and of those, two were in Enfield - Edmonton Eagles Amateur Boxing Club and One-to-One Enfield. These groups would now be able to use the award cipher on their documentation and in the next few months a certificate signed by Her Majesty and a crystal trophy were due to be presented by the Deputy Lord Lieutenant. Members joined the Mayor in congratulating both organisations on their wonderful achievement.

(c) Re-enactment of the Magna Carta

The Mayor advised that she had recently attended an event re-enacting the Magna Carta. She had thoroughly enjoyed representing the Borough at the event and congratulated all those who took part.

(d) Other Engagements

The Mayor advised that her first month as Mayor had been very busy, including visiting various schools to present certificates and trophies. She had also met with fellow London Mayors having being introduced to many of them at the London Mayor's event held at Capel Manor.

(d) Mayor's Thanksgiving Service & Reception

Finally the Mayor reminded members of her forthcoming Civic Thanksgiving Service and Reception to be held on the 4th July at 2pm at St Edmunds' Roman Catholic Church, 115 Hertford Road. All Members were welcome to attend.

(e) Member Conduct

As this was her first business meeting, the Mayor felt it would be helpful to outline the standards of behaviour she would expect both sides of the chamber to observe during her year in office. Whilst doing her best to ensure that Council meetings were chaired fairly and efficiently, it was recognised that there would be disagreement on issues under debate. During these debates the Mayor advised she would still expect Members to show mutual respect towards each other. Whilst she would not wish to take the action empowered to her within the Constitution relating to member conduct, she would have no hesitation in doing so, if necessary.

**29
MINUTES**

AGREED that the minutes of the Annual Council meeting held on 13 May 2015 be confirmed and signed as a correct record.

**30
APOLOGIES**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Ali Bakir, Dogan Delman, Christiana During, Alessandro Georgiou, Daniel Pearce and Haydar Ulus.

Apologies for lateness were received from Councillors Guney Dogan, Erin Celebi and Michael Lavender.

**31
DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

The following interests were declared in relation to items on the agenda:

- (a) Item 11 (Report No. 11 Part 1 agenda) and Item 2 (Report No.16 Part 2 agenda) - Mobilisation and Operation of Lee Valley Heat Network (LVHN)
- Councillors Oykenner, Sitkin and Taylor declared non-pecuniary interests as council appointed representatives on the Board for Lee Valley Heat Network Ltd.
- (b) Item 17 (Report No. 10 Part 1 agenda) and Item 4 (Report No. 15 Part 2 agenda): Housing Gateway Ltd Annual Report
- Councillors Achilleas Georgiou, Oykenner and Stafford declared non-pecuniary interests as council appointed representatives on the Board for Housing Gateway Ltd.
 - Councillor Laban declared a Disclosable Pecuniary in relation to her employment by an organisation referred to within the report

32

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Before moving on to deal with Opposition Business, Councillor Stewart moved and Councillor Taylor seconded a proposal, under paragraph 2.2 (page 4-6) of the Council Procedure Rules, to move the order of items so that the following were dealt with immediately following Opposition Business:

- Motion 19.4: In the name of Councillor Anderson relating to fly-tipping
- Motion 19.1: In the name of Councillor Neville relating to the conduct of a councillor
- Emergency Motion submitted in the name of Councillor Hamilton relating to funding for Primary Care and GP services in Enfield
- Agenda Item 16: Enfield OFSTED Improvement Plan (Report No.5)
- Agenda Item 10: Library Development Strategy 2015-2018 (Report No.7)
- Item 17 (Report No. 10 Part 1 agenda) and Item 4 (Report No. 15 Part 2 agenda): Housing Gateway Ltd Annual Report

The change in the order of the agenda was agreed, without a vote.

Please note the minutes reflect the order in which the agenda items were dealt with at the meeting.

33

OPPOSITION BUSINESS - THE CURRENT AESTHETICS IN THE BOROUGH, WHEELED BINS AND BULK WASTE COLLECTION

Councillor Laban introduced the issues paper, prepared by the Opposition Group. Issues highlighted were as follows:

1. Keeping the boroughs streets clean was a statutory responsibility for the Council and was important not only in terms of resident's health and wellbeing but also in terms of the quality of the environment and how the Council was judged as a local authority.
2. The need to recognise the impact of environmental issues on the borough "brand" and how any deterioration in the service, particularly in relation to waste collection and street cleansing would affect perceptions amongst residents, customers and potential investors about the Council's ability to deliver its core service and manage large scale projects and functions.
3. The need to ensure that the boroughs streets were kept clean and free from fly tipping in order to reinforce the fact that Enfield remained a good place to live, work, visit and do business.

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

4. Concerns had been identified about the current standard of street cleansing along with levels of fly tipping across the borough, supported by images provided within the Opposition Business paper. Fly tipping rates had been rising year on year since 2012 with the Council also failing to meet its own residual waste target level, according to the most recent Quarterly Performance monitoring report.
5. Whilst it was anticipated that the Majority Group would highlight reductions in Government funding in response to their paper the Opposition Group felt the impact of the following policies introduced by the current Administration also needed to be highlighted:
 - Increase in fees for the bulky waste collection service;
 - Change in operating procedures at the Barrowell Green Household Waste Recycling Centre (HWRC);
 - Introduction of a charge for providing large wheeled bins
6. In addition the Opposition Group felt that the current arrangements for reporting incidents of fly tipping were over complicated and not customer friendly, with the recently established social media campaign #cleanupenfield given as an example of how the process could be made more customer friendly and streamlined.

As a result of these issues the Opposition Group had identified the following actions, recommended within their Business Paper as a means to achieve the improvements felt necessary to Enfield's street scene:

- (a) make it easier for the public to report fly tipping via social media, website, phone and email;
- (b) take a strategic approach to areas where fly tipping was happening on a recurring basis;
- (c) install cameras where fly tipping was prevalent;
- (d) ensure greater integration between Environment and the Council Housing fly tip crews;
- (e) introduce a fly tipping amnesty day where people could leave bulky waste out for collection free of charge;
- (f) review fees and charges for bulky waste collection to make it more affordable to use the service;
- (g) issue a clear communication message to fly tippers that enforcement action would be taken
- (h) establish a community engagement campaign on the right way to dispose of rubbish.

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

Councillor Anderson, Cabinet Member for Environment, responded on behalf of the Majority Group highlighting:

1. The need to recognise that fly tipping was an issue not only within the borough but also nationally, with a recent review by the Communities and Local Government Select Committee highlighting a national increase of 20% with two thirds of the rubbish being household waste and a resulting increase in costs for local authorities of 24%.
2. Enfield was currently spending £4.3m on services to keep the borough clean and spent the 5th highest amount in terms of efforts to clear fly tipping. In contrast, he highlighted how the Government had stopped funding the Keep Britain Tidy campaign.
3. The investment of £1m by the current Administration in modernising street cleaning services compared to the reduction in overall spending by the Opposition Group when they were in power.
4. The outcome of a recent independent review highlighting a significant improvement in the efforts to keep streets clean since the Administration had come to power in 2010, which it was felt contradicted the accusation that Enfield was a dirty place to live.
5. The Administration were not, however, complacent about the action needed to ensure the boroughs streets remained clean, with the following activities given as example of action either taken or planned:
 - deployment of additional resources for enforcement activities;
 - introduction of a new management contract for the HWRC, increasing the tonnage that could be collected;
 - plans being developed to reopen a HWRC in the east of the borough, following closure of the previous site by the Opposition Group when last in power;
6. The need to recognise the role of private landlords and commercial organisations in also tackling fly tipping on land owned by them. It was pointed out that some of the images included within the Opposition Business paper were actually of privately owned sites.
7. The concerns highlighted in relation to the reporting process for fly tipping were also rejected, with the use of the on-line system seen as the most cost effective and efficient process. In addition the Council was also looking at more innovative solutions including geo tagging as an option.
8. The strategic nature of the approach being taken by the current Administration towards tackling fly tipping and street cleansing, which included a range of communication, education and enforcement activities. Hotspots were already being identified and regularly patrolled with CCTV also used to assist and the integration of Council housing within the Environment Department would also assist in the joining up of

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

street cleansing operations. A free collection service was also available for furniture and white goods within the borough.

9. The correlation between the level of charges for bulky waste collection and fly tipping was also rejected, given the national increase in rates identified and fact that the boroughs with some of the highest fly tipping rates offered a free collection service.

It was felt that the actions identified demonstrated the proactive approach being taken by the Council towards tackling fly tipping and ensuring its streets remained clean. The assertion that Enfield was not a clean place to live was rejected, with Enfield having received various awards including one from Clean Britain for its cleansing service.

Other issues raised during the debate were as follows:

- (a) The need highlighted by members of the Opposition Group:
 - to ensure that the reporting mechanisms for fly tipping and other waste collection or street cleansing issues were as streamlined, simple and accessible for residents to use as possible.
 - To recognise the impact created by the increase in charges for the bulky waste collection service in terms of affordability and an increase in levels of fly tipping. Whilst generating additional income this would be offset by an increase in costs associated with having to tackle rubbish being dumped illegally.
 - To recognise what was felt to have been the increase in enforcement powers made available to local authorities to tackle these issues.
 - To recognise the benefits of social media as an effective additional reporting mechanism.
- (b) the concern expressed by members of the Opposition Group at:
 - what was felt to be the limited value for money achieved as a result of the funding allocated to address these issues by the current Administration; and
 - at the fact that it had not been possible for the Cabinet Member to provide a detailed written response to Council Question 49 on the agenda, relating to the number of items for disposal collected by waste services direct from residents homes over the past 5 years.
- (c) the need identified by members of the Majority Group:
 - to recognise the commitment and efforts being made to keep Enfield clean and negative impact it was felt the #cleanupenfield

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

campaign was having in terms of undermining the boroughs reputation.

- To recognise the current performance levels in relation to waste collection with 84% of fly tip incidents being cleared before they were reported and the remaining 16% being cleared within 4 hours.
- The current difficulty in terms of enforcement powers to tackle commercial fly tipping and fly tipping on private land and need identified for further action to lobby Government for increased powers and a more effective and speedier enforcement process.
- To recognise action already being taken to identify and tackle fly tipping “hotspots” and for further action to lobby Government to reduce the burden of proof in relation to the use of CCTV footage as evidence in relation to prosecutions.
- To recognise that whilst fly tipping was endemic across the country, Enfield already had one of the best records in the country in terms of tackling the issue.
- To understand the way in which social media such as Twitter was currently used and the limited scope and level of resource required to monitor campaigns such as #cleanupenfield in order for them to be effective as a reporting mechanism.

Councillor Neville summed up, on behalf of the Opposition Group, by recognising that whilst fly-tipping was a growing national problem there was a need to focus on addressing the situation in Enfield in the first instance. The Opposition Group felt there was a need for the Administration to review the policies in place in terms of not only the level of fees being charged but also making reporting as easy and accessible as possible. It was felt a more strategic approach was required involving the identification and targeted action to address trends and “hotspots” in terms of where regular fly tipping was occurring. The use of CCTV would help but it was felt consideration also needed to be given to either removing or reducing the fees currently charged for bulky waste collection to make it more affordable and reduce the incentive to dump waste along with the use of amnesty days. Robust enforcement was also required, with a clear message provided that action would be taken, including for the owners of private land. The recommendations put forward within the Opposition business paper had all be designed to reflect these aims and were therefore recommended for approval.

In response, Councillor Taylor (Leader of the Council) felt that all members would agree as a shared objective, in the need to tackle the growing problem of fly tipping both on a local and national level. It was felt, however, that policies developed needed to be on an evidence based approach with the example of the closure of the Carterhatch HWRC having been seen to contribute to increased levels of fly tipping in that area of the borough. The current Administration had therefore pledged to develop plans to open a

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

second HWRC site in the east of the borough. The correlation made by the Opposition Group in relation to levels of charges for waste collection and fly tipping was also challenged, with examples provided of authorities offering free collection services with some of the highest fly tipping rates in London. Whilst supportive of the need for a national litter strategy, the approach adopted within Enfield had resulted in the Council being able to demonstrate one of the best records in the country for dealing with the problem and tribute was paid to staff for their hard work in delivery of the service. The outcome of a recent Communities and Local Government Select Committee review was also highlighted, along with comments made by the Keep Britain Tidy Group in terms of the lack of a clear national framework and strategy by the Government for tackling the problem. For these reasons the recommendations in the Opposition Business paper were not supported

As an outcome of the debate the Leader of the Opposition requested that a vote be taken on the following recommendations within the Opposition Business Paper:

That the Administration:

- (1) make it easier for the public to report fly tipping via social media, website, phone and email;
- (2) take a strategic approach to areas where fly tipping was happening on a recurring basis;
- (3) install cameras where fly tipping was prevalent;
- (4) ensure greater integration between Environment and the Council Housing fly tip crews;
- (5) introduce a fly tipping amnesty day where people can leave bulky waste out for collection free of charge;
- (6) review fees and charges for bulky waste collection to make it more affordable to use the service;
- (7) issue a clear communication message to fly tippers that enforcement action will be taken
- (8) establish a community engagement campaign on the right way to dispose of rubbish.

The above recommendations were put to the vote and not approved, with the result as follows:

For: 19

Against: 34

Abstentions: 0

34
MOTIONS

1.1 Following on from consideration of Opposition Business, Councillor Anderson moved and Councillor Chibah seconded the following motion:

“Fly tipping is endemic across the country costing taxpayers up to £850m each year. Earlier this year, the Communities and Local Government Select Committee accused Westminster of a “lack of vigour, if not complacency” on tackling this growing problem.

Though Enfield Council has one of the best records in the country in tackling this scourge, we recognise that more needs to be done. This Council, therefore, calls on the Government to heed the conclusions of the Select Committee report by creating a National Litter Strategy for England with a clear framework for action, underpinned with a coordinating role for local councils.

In addition we ask the Government:

- (1) to re-invest the millions from landfill tax receipts into tackling commercial fly-tipping;
- (2) to introduce a £1,000 fixed penalty notice for fly-tipping offences and make it easier for local authorities to enforce it; and
- (3) to address the outdated, slow and resource intensive process for dealing with waste on private land with a 7 day enforcement process along with higher fines for land owners who fail to comply.”

Having been moved and seconded, Councillor Laban then moved and Councillor Neville seconded the following amendment to the motion:

- To delete the second sentence in the first paragraph and replace the second paragraph with the following:

“We recognise that more needs to be done. Therefore Enfield Council agrees to send a joint letter from the Cabinet Member for Environment and Shadow Cabinet Member for Environment to the Rt Hon. Elizabeth Truss M.P., Secretary of State for Environment and ask for the creation of a National Litter Strategy to aid in combating the problem of fly tipping.”

In response to the amendment, Councillor Anderson advised that the Majority Group would have no objection to the proposal for a joint letter but would not be willing to accept deletion of reference to the outcome of the review undertaken by the Communities and Local Government Select Committee.

Prior to any further debate, John Austin (Assistant Director Governance Projects) advised that if it was not possible to agree a way forward on the

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

amendment then its consideration would to be subject to the usual rules of debate under the Council Procedure Rules.

In order to clarify matters Councillor Laban advised that the Opposition Group would be willing to proceed on the basis of their amendment now requiring the following changes to the original motion:

- Reference to “Westminster” in the second sentence of the first paragraph being amended to “the former coalition government”; and
- To the letter for which approval was being sought in the second paragraph to be a joint letter from the Cabinet Member for Environment and Shadow Cabinet Member for Environment to the Rt Hon. Elizabeth Truss M.P., Secretary of State for Environment and ask for the creation of a National Litter Strategy to aid in combating the problem of fly tipping.

Councillor Anderson advised that the Majority Group would be willing to support these subsequent changes which as a result were agreed, without a vote.

The substantive motion (as amended and set out below) was then agreed unanimously, without any further debate:

“Fly tipping is endemic across the country costing taxpayers up to £850m each year. Earlier this year, the Communities and Local Government Select Committee accused the former coalition government of a “lack of vigor, if not complacency” on tackling this growing problem.

Though Enfield Council has one of the best records in the country in tackling this scourge, we recognise that more needs to be done. This Council, therefore, agrees to send a joint letter from the Cabinet Member for Environment and the Shadow Cabinet Member for Environment to the Rt Hon Elizabeth Truss MP, Secretary of State for Environment, calling on the Government to heed the conclusions of the Select Committee report by creating a National Litter Strategy for England with a clear framework for action, underpinned with a coordinating role for local councils.

In addition we ask the Government:

- (1) to re-invest the millions from landfill tax receipts into tackling commercial fly-tipping;
- (2) to introduce a £1,000 fixed penalty notice for fly-tipping offences and make it easier for local authorities to enforce it; and
- (3) to address the outdated, slow and resource intensive process for dealing with waste on private land with a 7 day enforcement process along with higher fines for land owners who fail to comply.”

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

1.2 Councillor Neville moved and Councillor Steven seconded the following motion:

“It has recently come to light that Councillor Nesimi Erbil was convicted of two fraud related offences in relation to his license to drive a London taxi (black cab), the convictions having occurred last September.

The council is of the view that these offences, being offences of dishonesty render Councillor Erbil unfit to serve on the council and accordingly calls upon him to resign his seat forthwith.”

In responding to the motion, Councillor Stewart referred to advice received from the Labour Party setting out the internal process being followed to investigate the matter. Pending the outcome of that process, the councillor had been administratively suspended by the local Labour Group but would still be able to undertake duties as a local ward councillor. In order to avoid predetermining the outcome of the internal Labour Party investigation members of the local Labour Group had been advised to make no further statement and to abstain from any vote on the issue.

As a result of the above statement, the motion was put to the vote without any further debate and was approved. In accordance with section 15.4 of the Council Procedure Rules the Opposition Group requested a roll call vote, with the result as follows:

For: 19

Councillor Erin Celebi
Councillor Lee Chamberlain
Councillor Jason Charalambous
Councillor Lee David-Saunders
Councillor Nick Dines
Councillor Peter Fallart
Councillor Elaine Hayward
Councillor Robert Hayward
Councillor Ertan Hurer
Councillor Eric Jukes
Councillor Joanne Laban
Councillor Michael Lavender
Councillor Andy Milne
Councillor Terry Neville
Councillor Anne Marie Pearce
Councillor Michael Rye
Councillor Edward Smith
Councillor Jim Steven
Councillor Glynis Vince

Against: 0

Abstentions: 34

Councillor Abdul Abdullahi
Councillor Daniel Anderson
Councillor Dinah Barry
Councillor Chris Bond
Councillor Yasemin Brett
Councillor Alev Cazimoglu
Councillor Nesil Cazimoglu
Councillor Bambos Charalambous
Councillor Katherine Chibah
Councillor Gurney Dogan
Councillor Sarah Doyle
Councillor Turgut Esengali
Councillor Krystal Fonyonga
Councillor Achilleas Georgiou
Councillor Christine Hamilton
Councillor Ahmet Hassan
Councillor Suna Hurman
Councillor Jansev Jemal
Councillor Doris Jiage
Councillor Nneka Keazor
Councillor Bernadette Lappage
Councillor Dino Lemonides
Councillor Derek Levy
Councillor Mary Maguire
Councillor Don McGowan
Councillor Ayfer Orhan
Councillor Ahmet Oykenen
Councillor Vicki Pite
Councillor George Savva
Councillor Alan Sitkin
Councillor Andrew Stafford
Councillor Claire Stewart
Councillor Doug Taylor
Councillor Ozzie Uzoanya

Councillor Erbil withdrew from the meeting during consideration of this item.

35

EMERGENCY MOTION

The Mayor advised that she had agreed (in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 10.6) to accept the following item as an emergency motion, with copies tabled for members at the meeting. This had been on the basis of the reasons for urgency provided in advance of the meeting.

Having welcomed the Mayor's decision, Councillor Hamilton moved and Councillor Alev Cazimoglu seconded the following motion:

“Enfield Council believes that general practice is the solution to many of the current problems facing the NHS but general practice cannot achieve its full potential while being seriously damaged by:

- the continuing disinvestment in general practice;
- the lack of premises investment;
- the rapid reduction in the number of GPs due to government policies;

We know that going forward between now and 2020, Enfield will have a real shortage of GPs and primary care provision. **The need in Enfield is more urgent given the closure of Chase Farm Hospital A&E.**

On 19 June 2015 the Secretary of State for Health announced that 5,000 doctors were needed to fix the health crisis.

Both in terms of the number of GPs and practice surgery facilities the Government has made a commitment for 7 day NHS service. The same commitment made in 2010!

The number of GPs in Enfield is expected to have to rise by 84 from its current levels of 167 over the next five years—an increase of some 50%, and that just to get adequate cover by 2020. According to the Royal College of General Practitioners, that leaves Enfield the 17th worst hit Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) out of 212 across the country.

Enfield Council is committed to doing all that we can to lobby government and work with the CCG to make adequate funding available **as a matter of urgency** to address the Primary Care service in Enfield in order to meet the health care needs for Enfield Residents.

The Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care is requested to create a campaign strategy and lobby approach to Government so that Enfield Council, with partners, are best placed as early as possible to pressurise the Government for extra resources for Enfield residents”

In opening the debate on the motion, Councillor Neville moved and Councillor Rye seconded the following amendment:

- (a) To end the first paragraph after “NHS” and delete the following wording “but general practice cannot achieve its full potential while being seriously damaged by:
 - the continuing disinvestment in general practice;
 - the lack of premises investment;
 - the rapid reduction in the number of GPs due to government policies;”
- (b) To amend the final paragraph as follows (changes highlighted in bold) in order to ensure a joint approach towards the development and delivery of any lobbying campaign:

“The Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care **and Shadow Cabinet Member** ~~is~~**are** requested to **write a joint letter to the Secretary of State and** create a campaign strategy and lobby approach to Government so that Enfield Council, with partners are best placed as early as possible to pressurise the Government for extra resources for Enfield residents.”

Following a short debate, the amendment was put to the vote and lost with the following result:

For: 18
Against: 34
Abstentions: 0

Consideration of the substantive (unamended) motion then continued and following a further period of debate the motion was put to the vote and agreed, with the following result:

For: 34
Against: 16
Abstentions: 1

36 ENFIELD OFSTED IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Councillor Orhan moved and Councillor Uzoanya seconded the report from the Director of Schools and Children’s Services (No.5) presenting the outcome of an OFSTED inspection of services within Enfield for children in need of help and protection, children looked after and care leavers along with the effectiveness of the Local Safeguarding Children Board undertaken in January/February 2015. The report also highlighted the key actions identified within the OFSTED Improvement Plan as an outcome of the inspection.

NOTED

1. Cabinet (17th June 2015) had agreed the recommendations in the report along with its referral onto Council for noting and information.
2. The background to the inspection process undertaken, as detailed in section 3 of the report.
3. The Inspectors had found that the Local Authority led effective services which had resulted in an overall judgement that children’s services in Enfield were Good. In addition the Inspectors judged the Enfield Safeguarding Children Board (ESCB) as Good based around the arrangements in place to evaluate the effectiveness of what was done by the Authority and ESCB partners to safeguard and promote the welfare of children.

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

4. Nationally less than 25% of Authorities had received an overall judgement of Good with Enfield, at the time, being the only London Authority to have achieved the rating.
5. The thanks expressed by members across the Council for the hard work of those staff involved in the delivery of these services given the challenges faced. The Cabinet Member, supported by colleagues across the Council, also took the opportunity, at what was to be his final Council meeting, to recognise and thank Andrew Fraser as Director of Schools & Children's Services for his dedication, knowledge and skill in leading the service.
6. Notwithstanding the judgement received, the inspection had identified 15 recommendations designed to further improve services to vulnerable children and these had formed the basis of the OFSTED Improvement Plan. 11 of these related to children's services and 4 to the effectiveness of the ESCB. Each recommendation had clear actions and lead officers identified as responsible for their delivery with progress to be monitored and overseen by the ESCB, Lead Cabinet Member, Director and Overview & Scrutiny Committee.
7. Whilst also welcoming the outcome of the inspection, the Opposition Group highlighted a need to ensure that focus was maintained on the direct outcomes arising from the actions identified in response to the recommendations within the OFSTED Improvement Plan.
8. The strong political commitment identified by the Cabinet Member towards children's services in Enfield and innovative nature of actions already being delivered or identified in response to the recommendations within the OFSTED Improvement Plan. At the same time Members advised they had recognised the need to avoid complacency and to ensure that ongoing and future challenges (including issues around the calculation of funding support) were addressed, with the example provided of the establishment of the cross party Task Group on Child Sexual Exploitation as one such initiative. The work of the Children in Care Council (KRATOS) was also highlighted as a key area of support.

The recommendations in the report were agreed unanimously, without further debate or a vote.

AGREED, following referral of the report by Cabinet on 17th June 2015, to note:

- (1) The following documents attached to the report:
 - (a) Enfield Improvement Plan;
 - (b) Inspection of services for children in need of help and protection, children looked after and care leavers and Review of the effectiveness of the Local Safeguarding Children Board; and

- (c) Annual Report of the Independent Reviewing Officer – 2014/15.
- (2) The cross part support expressed for the work being undertaken by the Schools and Children’s Services Department and the Enfield Safeguarding Board to implement and achieve the actions relating to the 15 recommendations outlined in the Improvement Plan.
- (3) The specific recommendations within the plan to improve the oversight and scrutiny functions of Senior Managers, the Enfield Safeguarding Children Board and elected members.

37

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Given the time available to complete the business on the agenda and items which both Groups had indicated they still wished to consider, Councillor Stewart moved and Councillor Uzoanya seconded a further proposal to change the order of business on the agenda under paragraph 2.2 (page 4-6) of the Council Procedure Rules to enable the following to be taken as the next items of business:

- Item 23 – Exclusion of the Press & Public.
- Part 2 Agenda Item 1 – Alma Estate Regeneration Programme Update (including Dujardin Mews Project) (Report No.227A)
- Part 2 Agenda Item 2 – Mobilisation and Operation of the Lee Valley Heat Network (Report No.16)

The change in the order of the agenda was agreed, without a vote.

Please note the minutes reflect the order in which the agenda items were dealt with at the meeting.

38

EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

Given that the next two items agreed for consideration had been listed on the Part 2 agenda Council agreed the following resolution, without a vote, to exclude the press and public:

AGREED in accordance with Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972 to exclude the press and public from the meeting for consideration of Items 1 and 2 listed on Part 2 of the agenda on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 (information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information) of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Act (as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006).

39

ALMA ESTATE REGENERATION - PROGRAMME UPDATE (INCLUDING DUJARDIN MEWS PROJECT)

Councillor Oykenor moved and Councillor Stafford seconded the report of the Director of Regeneration and Environment and Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services (No.227) providing an update on the Alma Estate, including Dujardin Mews, regeneration scheme.

NOTED

1. The report had been submitted for consideration in conjunction with Report 225A on the Part 1 Agenda. (Min.44 refers) following its approval by Cabinet on 29th April 2015.
2. The progress made in relation to delivery of both the Alma and Dujardin Mews schemes, as detailed within the Part 1 and Part 2 reports. This had included the Council entering into a Development Agreement for the scheme to redevelop the Alma Estate and into a construction contract with a building contractor for Dujardin Mews.
3. The size and complexity of the regeneration schemes, which were now being treated as two separate projects, with Cabinet having agreed a number of changes (subject to approval of the necessary funding by Council) designed to bring forward both developments and delivery of associated Council housing. Key changes requiring additional financial approval had included:
 - a. the need to increase funding to the developer in relation to the Alma Estate scheme as a result of changes in the phasing, numbers and sizes of housing now being delivered in earlier phases of the scheme and to cover an increase in build costs;
 - b. an increase in the costs associated with the need to obtain vacant possession of properties on the Alma Estate due to an increase in property prices since the scheme had originally been approved;
 - c. an increase in project and staffing costs to reflect the increase in size and complexity of the scheme and the inclusion of additional facilities such as Welcome Point within the scheme.
4. Whilst supportive of both schemes, concerns were raised by the Opposition Group in relation to the overall % increase in costs and what they felt to be the limited detail within the report to justify the reasons for these. It was felt these issues supported more general concerns expressed about the oversight and project management arrangements for major schemes of this type and need to ensure that the Housing Board was fully engaged in this process.

5. In response to the concerns highlighted in 4. above members attention was drawn to the fact that the additional costs identified were partly due to be offset through additional capital receipts with the schemes combined currently projecting an improved financial position and the HRA Business Plan remaining affordable. The wider oversight issue raised in relation to the role of the Housing Board had, however, been recognised by the Cabinet Member for Housing & Housing Regeneration with consideration already being given as to how the role of the Board could be developed in this respect.

The recommendations in the report were then put to the vote and agreed as follows:

AGREED

- (1) To note progress being made in obtaining vacant possession on the Alma Estate and approve (as recommended by Cabinet) an increase in the budget to the total amount detailed within para 6.1.1 of the report from the HRA to obtain vacant possession of the homes.
- (2) To note the increase agreed by Cabinet in number of Council homes included in Phase 1 of the proposed redevelopment of the Alma Estate from 80 to approximately 98, subject to planning, along with the cash flow effect of implementing the change on the HRA Business Plan, as detailed in para 6.1.2 of the report.
- (3) To note (as agreed by Cabinet) the cost of constructing the retail element to be included in Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the proposed redevelopment of the Alma Estate, as detailed within para 6.1.3 of the report.
- (4) To note the decision by Cabinet to bring forward the development of Phase 1 of the proposed redevelopment of the Alma Estate and authorise an undertaking for the amount detailed at para 6.1.4 of the report, to Countryside to underwrite the costs of demolition.
- (5) To approve, as recommended by Cabinet, the increase in budget for payments to the developer and staffing and project costs on the Alma Estate scheme as detailed in para 6.1.5 and 6.1.6 of the report, noting that this increase could be offset by a reduction in the costs of the Dujardin Mews scheme.
- (6) To note the progress being made in the construction of new homes on Dujardin Mews and approve (as recommended by Cabinet) an increase in the budget to the total amount, as detailed within para 6.1.9 of the report from the HRA to cover increased build costs and the inclusion of an in-house lift in one of the new homes.
- (7) To approve (as recommended by Cabinet) the budget for staffing and project costs on the Dujardin Mews scheme in the amounts detailed in

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

para 6.1.10 of the report, noting that this increase could be offset by a reduction in costs identified elsewhere within the scheme.

(Exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 (information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)) of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 as amended).

For: 34
Against: 0
Abstentions: 19

40 COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 11 - EXTENSION OF COUNCIL MEETING

Given the remaining time available before the meeting was due to end and indication from both Groups that they still wished to consider the report relating to the Mobilisation and Operation of the Lee Valley Heat Network Councillor Neville moved and Councillor Taylor seconded a proposal under Council Procedural Rule 11(m) to extend the meeting for an additional period of 15 minutes. This was agreed unanimously without a vote.

41 MOBILISATION AND OPERATION OF THE LEE VALLEY HEAT NETWORK (LVHN)

Councillor Sitkin moved and Councillor Savva seconded the report of the Director of Regeneration and Environment (No.16) relating to mobilisation and operation of the Lee Valley Heat Network (LVHN).

NOTED

1. The report had been submitted for consideration in conjunction with Report 11 on the Part 1 Agenda. (Min.46 refers) following its approval by Cabinet on 17th June 2015. Whilst the majority of background information had been included within the accompanying Part 1 report, members agreed that consideration should remain within Part 2 of the agenda, given the intended focus of the debate around the financial issues outlined within the supporting information contained in the Part 2 report.
2. Cabinet had agreed the recommendations in the Part 1 report and noted the supporting financial information within the Part 2 report which had resulted in recommendation of the additional costs identified for inclusion within the Capital Programme.
3. The progress made to date on delivery of a major infrastructure project within the borough as detailed within section 3.6 of the accompanying Part 1 report, which had included:

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

- a. the commencement of three key procurement exercises relating to the design, build and operation of the strategic heat network to Meridian Water; operation and maintenance of three satellite schemes at Ladderswood, Alma Road and New Avenue; and provision of a customer service for the entire network;
 - b. nurturing of investor confidence and support for the Business Plan; and
 - c. recruitment of a strong project team involving expert technical and consultancy support from across the decentralised energy sector;
4. The next steps planned in delivery of the project, as detailed in section 3.6 – 3.10 of the Part 1 report and breakdown of the additional funding being recommended for approval, which would be drawn down from funds already identified within the Council's indicative Capital Programme.
 5. The concerns highlighted by the Opposition Group in relation to:
 - a. what they felt to be the significant financial risks associated with delivery of the scheme and its potential impact on the delivery and viability of the Meridian Water development; and
 - b. what was felt to be the limited opportunities available for wider member oversight and scrutiny in relation to operation of the Holdco and management of risks as the project developed;
 6. In response to 5. above, the Cabinet Member for Economic Development and Business Regeneration highlighted what were felt to be the robust risk management procedures already established in order to monitor and mitigate, as far as possible, the risks identified.

Following a short debate the recommended inclusion of the additional funding within the Capital Programme was then put to the vote and agreed as follows:

AGREED to approve, as recommended by Cabinet, the inclusion of the £2.143m project development costs, as detailed within the Part 2 report, on the Council's approved Capital Programme.

(Exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 (information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)) of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 as amended).

For: 33
Against: 17
Abstentions: 0

Councillors Oyken, Sitkin and Taylor declared non-pecuniary interests in this item. They remained in the meeting and participated in the debate and decision on the report.

42

COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE 8 - DURATION OF THE COUNCIL MEETING AND ORDER OF AGENDA

The Mayor advised, at this stage of the meeting, that the time available to complete the agenda had now elapsed so Council Procedure Rule 8 would apply.

The Council then moved back into Part 1 of the agenda.

NOTED that in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 8 (page 4-8 – Part 4), the remaining items of business on the Council agenda were considered without debate.

43

APPROVAL OF A NEW STRATEGY FOR LEISURE AND CULTURE POLICY FOR THE COUNCIL AND ITS PARTNERS

RECEIVED the report from the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services (No.220A) seeking approval to the new Leisure and Culture Strategy: Active and Creative 2015-2020.

NOTED that the Strategy and Action Plan had been approved (as amended within the report) for recommendation on to Council, by Cabinet on 29th April 2015.

AGREED to approve and adopt the new Leisure and Culture Strategy: Active and Creative 2015-2020.

44

ALMA ESTATE REGENERATION - PROGRAMME UPDATE (INCLUDING DUJARDIN MEWS PROJECT)

RECEIVED the report of the Director of Regeneration and Environment and Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services (No.225A) providing a programme update on development of the Alma Estate and Dujardin Mews schemes.

NOTED the report had been submitted for consideration in conjunction with Report 227A on the Part 2 Agenda. (Min.39 refers) following its approval by Cabinet on 29th April 2015.

AGREED to note the following decisions taken by Cabinet on 29th April 2015, in support of the decision already taken under the Part 2 agenda (Min.39 refers) regarding the inclusion of funding within the Capital Programme:

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

- (1)** To note in relation to both schemes, that all of the recommendations could be achieved without exceeding the combined budget for the two schemes.
- (2)** In relation to the Alma Estate:
 - (a)** Cabinet had noted the progress being made in obtaining vacant possession on the Alma Estate as detailed in para 3.11 and 3.12 of the report and approved the budget detailed in the part 2 report from the Housing Revenue Account to obtain vacant possession of the homes.
 - (b)** Cabinet had agreed the proposal at para 3.27 of the report to increase the number of Council homes included in Phase 1 of the proposed redevelopment of the Alma Estate from 80 to approximately 98, subject to planning.
 - (c)** Cabinet had noted that, based on current predictions of tenants indicating they would like to remain on the Estate, there was a risk that the Council might be required to purchase additional new Council homes. A report to acquire further properties from Countryside would be submitted to Cabinet for approval once numbers and budget requirements had been finalised.
 - (d)** Cabinet had agreed the proposal at para 3.33 of the report to construct the retail element detailed in the part 2 report for inclusion in Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the proposed redevelopment of the Alma Estate.
 - (e)** Cabinet had agreed the proposal at para 3.36 of the report to bring forward the development of Phase 1 of the proposed redevelopment of the Alma Estate and authorised the Council to give an undertaking for the amount detailed in the part 2 report to Countryside to underwrite the costs of demolition.
 - (f)** Cabinet had agreed the proposal at para 3.41 of the report to include homes for older people within the proposed redevelopment of the Alma Estate and to delegate authority to the Director of Regeneration and Environment, acting in consultation with the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services, to agree terms for a Deed of Variation to facilitate the inclusion of the extra care homes.
 - (g)** Cabinet had agreed to delegate authority to the Director of Regeneration and Environment, acting in consultation with the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services, to agree whether or not to exercise the Council's option to purchase the right to receive the ground rental income stream from Countryside on the terms contained in the Development Agreement.
 - (h)** Cabinet had agreed to delegate authority to the Director of Regeneration and Environment, acting in consultation with the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services to agree the terms for the disposal of

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

the right to receive the ground rental income stream on the open market in return for a capital sum.

- (i) Cabinet had agreed (subject to approval by Council) to increases in the budget for the Alma Estate for project and staffing costs and payments to the contractor as detailed in the Part 2 report.
 - (j) Cabinet had agreed to delegate authority to the Director of Regeneration and Environment, acting in consultation with the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services to agree the terms of such further variations to the Development Agreement as required to implement the development scheme within the development boundary agreed at the December 2014 meeting of Cabinet (Key decision no.4003).
- (3) In relation to the Dujardin Mews scheme:
- (a) Cabinet had noted the progress at para 3.47 of the report being made in the construction of new homes on Dujardin Mews and approved the budget detailed in the Part 2 report from the Housing Revenue Account to provide for the inclusion of one in-house lift in one of the new homes and for the increase in costs due to on site delays.
 - (b) Cabinet had agreed to recommend to the Council an increase in the Capital Programme to cover the extra costs of Alma Estate and Dujardin schemes with the additional costs funded from the receipts detailed in the Part 2 report.
 - (c) Cabinet had agreed to delegate authority to the Director of Regeneration and Environment, acting in consultation with the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services to agree the terms of such further variations to the building contract as required to implement the above.
 - (d) Cabinet had agreed to delegate authority to the Assistant Director Legal and Governance Services to complete such deeds necessary arising from the above recommendations.

45

LIBRARY DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY 2015-2018

RECEIVED the report of the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services (No.7) seeking approval of a new Library Development Strategy 2015-2018 and the investment necessary to realise the vision.

NOTED

1. Cabinet (17th June 2015) had agreed the recommendations within the report, with the Strategy and funding for the capital works required at Edmonton Green Library referred on to Council for approval.

COUNCIL - 24.6.2015

2. The following amendment to para 5.10 of the report, agreed by Cabinet when approving the Strategy for recommendation on to Council (as detailed on the amendment sheet tabled at the meeting):

“5.10 Bring to an end the mobile library service and existing/new customers who cannot attend a physical library will be offered a different service through an extended Home Library Delivery Service which will be supported by volunteers.”

AGREED

- (1) To approve (as recommended by Cabinet) the Library Development Strategy, as detailed in Appendix C of the report, on the basis of delivering Option 1 from the consultation options (subject to the amendment to section 5.10 of the report noted above).
- (2) To approve the capital works required to deliver the improvements to the Edmonton Green Library, as detailed within the report (up to £5m) for inclusion on the Council's Capital programme with the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services and Cabinet Member for Finance Efficiency given delegated authority to start procurement and award contracts subject to further key decision notifications.

46

MOBILISATION AND OPERATION OF LEE VALLEY HEAT NETWORK (LVHN)

RECEIVED the report from the Director of Regeneration and Environment (No.11) regarding the mobilisation and operation of the Lee Valley Heat Network.

NOTED the report had been submitted for consideration in conjunction with Report 16 on the Part 2 Agenda. (Min.41 refers) following its approval by Cabinet on 17th June 2015.

AGREED to note the decisions taken by Cabinet (17th June 2015) relating to the Governance, Finance and Procurement matters identified in relation to the Lee Valley Heat Network and in support of the decision taken under Part 2 (Min.41 refers) of the agenda to approve (as recommended by Cabinet) the inclusion of the £2.143m project development costs within the Council's approved Capital Programme.

Councillors Oyken, Sitkin and Taylor declared non-pecuniary interests in this item.

47

RE-PROVISION PROJECT - CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT

RECEIVED the report of the Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care (No.12) seeking approval to commission the design and construction of

a dual registered care home and the approach towards procurement of the service delivery aspect for the project.

NOTED

1. Cabinet (17th June 2015) had approved entering into a Stage 2 building contract for the construction of the facility, subject to Council approving the additional capital costs within the capital programme.
2. The detailed recommendations and supporting financial information relating to the capital costs had been included in an accompanying report (No.17) to be considered on the Part 2 Council agenda (Min.58 refers).

AGREED to note the content of the report in support of the approval being sought within the Part 2 report, to the addition of the costs identified for the scheme within the Capital Programme.

48

COUNCILLOR CONDUCT COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT 2014/15

RECEIVED the Annual Report from the Councillor Conduct Committee detailing the key issues dealt with by the Committee during 2014/15.

NOTED that the report had been agreed and recommended to Council for approval, by the Councillor Conduct Committee on 30th April 2015.

AGREED to approve the Councillor Conduct Committee Annual Report 2014/15.

49

AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION: STANDING ORDERS REGULATIONS 2015 - CHANGES TO THE STATUTORY DISMISSAL PROCEDURES FOR HEADS OF PAID SERVICE, MONITORING OFFICERS AND SECTION 151 FINANCE OFFICERS

RECEIVED the report from the Assistant Director Human Resources detailing changes required to the Council's Constitution as a result of changes within the Local Authorities (Standing Orders) (England) Amendment Regulations 2015, which had come into force on 11 May 2015. These changes related to the taking of disciplinary action against the Head of Paid Service, Monitoring Officer and Section 151 Officer.

AGREED

- (1) To approve the amendments to the Constitution, as set out in Appendix 1 of the report, arising from the Local Authorities (Standing Orders) (England) Amendment Regulations 2015.

- (2) To delegate authority to the Remuneration Committee to approve the introduction of the new detailed model dismissal procedure for the Head of Paid Service, Monitoring Officer and Section 151 Finance Officer, arising from the requirements within the Regulations.

50

MEMBERS ALLOWANCES SCHEME 2015/16

RECEIVED an update from the Director of Finance, Resources & Customer Services, listed on the agenda as Item 15 relating to the Members Allowance Scheme for 2015/16.

NOTED

1. Council (25 March 2015) had approved, following changes introduced under the Public Service Pension Act 2013 the establishment of a Local Pension Board and to rename the Council's existing Pension Board as the Pension Policy and Investment Committee.
2. Under the Members Allowances Scheme (Part 6 of the Constitution - Paragraph 6.3 (c)) the chair of the previous titled Pension Board received a Special Responsibility Allowance (SRA) of £2,000 per year but given the change in governance arrangements and role of the newly established Board, Council was now being asked to consider dividing the allowance between the chairs of the Pension Policy and Investment Committee and Local Pension Board.

AGREED to approve the following amendments to Schedule 1 of the Members Allowance Scheme in order to reflect the change in governance arrangements relating to administration of the Pension Fund:

- (1) To replace reference to Chair of Pensions Board with Chair of Pension Policy & Investment Committee and amend the level of Special Responsibility Allowance for that post to £1,000.
- (2) To add the Chair of the Local Pension Board as a post to receive a Special Responsibility Allowance (if filled by a councillor) – with an allocation of £1,000

51

HOUSING GATEWAY LIMITED ANNUAL REPORT

RECEIVED the report for the Director of Finance, Housing and Customer Services (No.10) presenting the Housing Gateway Limited First Annual Report.

NOTED

1. Cabinet (17th June 2015) had considered and approved the report and recommended that it be referred on to Council for information.

2. The report was accompanied by a Part 2 agenda item (Report No.15) which had also been referred to Council for information (Min.59 refers).

AGREED to note, subject to consideration of the accompanying Part 2 report, the contents of the first Housing Gateway Limited Annual report.

Councillor Joanne Laban declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interests in this item. As the matter was dealt with under the guillotine she did not withdraw from the meeting but took no part in the process for dealing with the report.

Councillors Achilleas Georgiou, Oykenner and Stafford declared non-pecuniary interests in this item.

52

COUNCILLORS' QUESTION TIME (TIME ALLOWED - 30 MINUTES)

1.1 Urgent Question

The Mayor advised that in accordance with the criteria set out in the Constitution, she had accepted the following as an urgent question:

From Councillor Milne to Councillor Orhan, Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services and Protection:

The Cabinet Member will be aware that recent figures released by the London Assembly in a report "London's Children: Missing from Care" reveals that Enfield has one of the highest numbers of children in care going missing. The figures show that 110 children went missing from care 251 times in the last year and that there has been a 722% increase in missing children in borough placements.

Can the Cabinet Member explain to the Council:

- (a) why this is happening;
- (b) What steps she is putting in place to ensure these children are properly looked after as they should be; and
- (c) What action is she taking to ensure that those responsible for looking after these children are attending to their jobs properly.

A written response to the question from the Cabinet Member for Education, Children's Services & Protection had been tabled (on the amendment sheet) at the meeting. Under the Council Procedure Rules no supplementary question was permitted.

1.2 Questions by Councillors

NOTED

1. The seventy questions on the Council agenda and written responses provided by the relevant Cabinet Members and Associate Cabinet Members.
2. The updated copy of the Appendix provided as part of the response to Question 48 (tabled with the amendment sheet) providing a reformatted breakdown of Controlled Parking Zone enforcement costs.

53

MOTIONS

The following motions listed on the agenda lapsed due to lack of time:

1.1 In the name of Councillor Neville:

“Enfield Council congratulates the Conservative Party on winning the General Election on 7th May 2015, thus providing the United Kingdom with stable majority party government independent of the whims and fantasies of minor parties, and welcomes the referendum to be held in 2017 on Britain`s future relationship with the European Union. Enfield Council will seek to work in a constructive manner with the new Conservative Government for the benefit of the Enfield community.”

1.2 In the name of Councillor Sitkin:

“Under this pro-enterprise Labour Administration, Enfield Council commits to remaining open for business.”

54

MEMBERSHIPS

AGREED the following changes to committee memberships:

(1) **Green Belt Forum**

Councillor Steven to replace Councillor J.Charalambous

(2) **Members and Democratic Services Group**

To note that Councillor Simon had been appointed as chair of the Group.

55

NOMINATIONS TO OUTSIDE BODIES

AGREED the following changes to nominations on outside bodies:

(1) **Edmonton United Charities**

Councillor Savva to be replaced by a vacancy.

(2) Enfield Racial Equality Council

Councillor J.Charalambous to be replaced by Councillor D.Pearce

(3) Health & Social Partnership Boards

(a) Older People

Councillor Jiagge to be replaced by Councillor Pite

(b) Carers

Councillor Jiagge to fill vacancy

(4) Safer Neighbourhood Board

Councillor Milne to be replaced by Councillor Dines

56

DATE OF NEXT MEETING

NOTED the next meeting of the Council would be held at 7.00pm on Thursday 24th September May 2015 at the Civic Centre.

57

EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

AGREED in accordance with Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972 to exclude the press and public from the meeting for consideration of Items 1 and 2 listed on Part 2 of the agenda on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 (information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information) of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Act (as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006).

58

RE-PROVISION PROJECT - CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT

RECEIVED the report of the Director of Health, Housing and Adult Social Care (No.17) seeking approval to commission the design and construction of a dual registered care home and the approach towards procurement, funding and delivery of the project.

NOTED

1. the report had been submitted for consideration in conjunction with Report 12 on the Part 1 Agenda. (Min.47 refers) following approval by Cabinet on 17 June 2015.

2. the decision made by Cabinet in relation to project development and costs and on the award of contract, which had been subject to approval of the additional capital costs detailed within the report.

AGREED, as recommended by Cabinet, to approve the additional capital cost of £2.647m (on the basis of the detail within section 6.1 of the report) for inclusion within the Capital Programme having noted that the estimated running and capital financing costs could be contained within the Medium Term Financial Plan provision.

(Exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 (information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)) of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 as amended).

59

HOUSING GATEWAY LIMITED ANNUAL REPORT

RECEIVED the report from the Director of Finance, Resources and Customer Services (No.15) presenting the first Housing Gateway Limited Annual Report, including details on the associated financial model.

NOTED the report had been submitted to Council for information in conjunction with Report 10 on the Part 1 Agenda. (Min.51 refers) following approval by Cabinet on 17 June 2015.

AGREED to note the contents of the first Housing Gateway Limited Annual Report.

(Exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 (information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)) of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 as amended).

Councillors Joanne declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interests in this item. As the matter was dealt with under the guillotine she did not withdraw from the meeting but took no part in the process for dealing with the report.

Councillors Achilleas Georgiou, Oykenner and Stafford declared non-pecuniary interests in this item.